

Applying Weather, Water, Ice, and Climate Data to Inform Navigational Decisions in the Arctic

Jean Holloway, Jackie Dawson, Annika Stensland, Jelmer Jeuring, Machiel Lamers, and Brent Else

CMSRF AIS Webinar November 25th, 2022





Environment, Society and Policy Group



Vessel operators rely on **WWIC information** to make safe navigational decisions.

Usability 🗸

Accessibility 🗸





WWIC Information Value-Chain



(Modified from Clear Seas)

Research Questions

- What WWIC information are vessel operators using?
- Is current WWIC information meeting user needs (i.e. is it useable, accessible, and accurate)?
- How and where can WWIC services be improved for safer navigation?
- Are there differences between vessel types (e.g. pleasure craft vs. non-pleasure craft)?





- Mixed-methods survey using Qualtrics
- 56 responses total
- Responses from all targeted vessel types except fishing vessels
 - High response rate from pleasure craft operators (40%)
- Most participants were ship captains or skippers (57%)
- Range of experience (from less than 1 year to more than 30 years)
 - Pleasure craft operators were least experienced (61% less than 1 year)







"As a WWIC user, my needs are being met..."



"Could your voyages benefit from additional WWIC services?"











"Please rank these factors by importance for making navigation decisions for safe travel."









LEAST IMPORTANT

MOST IMPORTANT





555



Other Salinity ■ Water temperature Horizontal visibility Atmospheric pressure Ocean currents Wave direction Wave height □Vessel icing Precipitation type Precipitation intensity Air temperature Wind direction Wind speed Sea ice drift Sea ice thickness/age Sea ice concentration

LEAST IMPORTANT

20

10

0

MOST IMPORTANT





What time scale and spatial resolution information is



Accuracy

Most users felt accuracy was "satisfactory" or "very good" but suggested some improvements



How could accuracy be improved?	
Improvement	Number of Participants
More frequent / real-time observations Real-time reporting from vessels / air crafts 	19
Technological improvements Better satellite coverage Increase satellite passing frequency Improve sensor capability 	6
More accurate / detailed ice charts	5
Understand local factors / consider local events	5
Integrate ground truthing of satellite based data	2
Low-pressure systems undermine forecast reliability	2
GRIB underestimates wind speed in Arctic	2
Improve modelling of sea ice concentration	1
Lack of detail on maps	1
Poor communication / difficult to obtain information	1
Charts are drawn using information taken at different times Visual, radar, satellite observations 	1
Offer extended internships in the Arctic for ice experts to gain experience	1
Report age of base data used for interpretation	1
Less conservatism	1
Compile data from different sources	1

Where were services inaccurate?

PLEASURE CRAFT



Where were services inaccurate?

NON-PLEASURE CRAFT



Usability

Most users were happy with the usability of current WWIC services, but suggested some improvements.



How could usability be improved?	
Improvement	Number of Participants
More frequent updates	7
Downloadable ice charts	1
Improve visual presentation	1
Simpler diagrams	1
Updates earlier and later in the season	1
Updates in more sub-regions	1
Have information for specific locations users are located within	1
Introduce a software app	1
Learning process for Egg Code	1
Include Environment Canada Extended Forecasts on NAVTEX	1

Accessibility

Sea ice concentration



Wind direction





n = 18

% of Respons

0 - 10

11 - 20

21 - 30

31 - 40

41-5

51.00

61 - 70

81 90

Canada

"Were there issues with internet?"

40% of participants said **YES**

37% of participants said **SOMETIMES**

Sea ice age/thickness



Accessibility







How could accessibility be improved?		
Improvement	Number of Participants	
Faster connectivity speed	20	
 Receive information via other methods for those without internet Text format with no non-essential graphics (1) Simple files (small size, text only, compressed) (4) Pre-organized via Sailmail (2) SSB Radio (1) Reduced size ice charts (1) Satellite phone (1) 	13	
More reliable / better satellite coverage	12	
Dependant on vessel equipment and capacity	9	
No internet connection - Relied upon shore-based updates (3)	5	
Improved signal strength	4	
Lower cost options	3	
Increased bandwidth to vessels	2	
Starlink: potential to improve deliverability	2	
Provide more information via automatic email responder	2	
Use of low-band connection via Iridium	2	
GoC subscribe to HEOSAT via Space Norway	2	
Long-term forecasts available for those without/infrequent internet connectivity	2	
SSB Airmail connections not always possible	1	
Improve access / communication	1	
Ham radio Wi-Fi	1	
Install cell towers to 'hotspot'	1	

Summary & Future Work

- Users are satisfied with the current services, but could benefit from additional WWIC information
- Information is needed on a shorter time-scale (same day), with more frequent updates, especially for sea ice
- NWP is the area where operators perceived information to be most inaccurate
- Internet connectivity is a problem, especially for pleasure craft operators



Summary & Future Work

- Descriptive statistics to be completed spring 2023
- 2-page results summary and manuscript writing
- Survey results will be shared with service providers



SSHRC CRSH ArcticNet



MARINE ENVIRONMENTAL OBSERVATION PREDICTION & RESPONSE NETWORK



Chaires Canada de recherche Research du Canada Chairs





For more information please visit: <u>https://www.espg.ca</u>

Questions? Email me at jhollowa@uottawa.ca!



Department of Geography, Environment and Geomatics